GPC Learning Exchange Conference – 2016
GPC IRB Meeting Notes

Friday session

1. Recap of Thursday
    a. Education for research teams
        i. Didn't really flush things out in terms of continuous reinforcement
    b. Michele – Outlined what is covered when they meet with Site teams to explain reliance process
        i. Cover expectations. Want PI and Research Coordinator in attendance
    c. KUMC does similar approach
    d. Should there be (or is there from before) a summary of each site's reportable events?
    e. Iowa has PI sign-off on responsibilities when it is a multi-site study
    f. Need flow chart or summary of responsibilities as discussed.
    g. SMART IRB question – Regarding affiliated sites
        i. Requiring an assessment for affiliates will be difficult
    h. Reviewed the Joinder process
2. Suggestions for continuing education
    a. Will come to front and center when NIH requires a communication plan
    b. Institutional profiles will be applicable to both researchers and IRBs
        i. Will include local/unique requirements
3. Is there an opportunity for patients to assist IRB?
4. What could we have learned earlier on ADAPTABLE?
    a. Patients had good recommendations.
    b. Many questions early on Iowa IRB team had asked about.
    c. Duke had already approved when they shared the study more broadly – approach was very problematic.
    d. Need to pick and choose battles.
    e. Duke IRB should have shared their perspective on why they approved it the way they did. Could have helped.
5. Steve F. to send Mike the RELIANCE trial info.