Supporting Pilots, CRGs and Other Opportunities (10/17 at 10am) - Paseo Room (~15 attendees)

Co-facilitators: Tamara McMahon, Brad McDowell, Mei Liu, Dan Connolly

1. Tamara McMahon: Welcome/ Purpose
2. Brad McDowell - We have some questions that may guide this discussion.
   a. GROUSE - where is the documentation, linkage, variable names, etc.
      i. How easy is it to wreck something?
      ii. Backups of project folders?
      iii. How do we create cohort and gather info that is relevant to the cohort?
      iv. How does linkage occur?
      v. What is the best environment - SAS? SQL?
      vi. What about i2b2 data not in the CDM? How will inconsistencies be resolved? Even within the CDM this is the case.
      vii. Will Dan impose order?
      viii. How do we get these kinds of questions answered?
3. Nick Smith - need answers so that everyone can see the same info
4. Dan Connolly - Will add section to the GROUSE Project in TRAC
5. BM - Need to know lines of communication generally
6. DC - GROUSE Project in TRAC is the documentation
7. Kris Osinski - yes, where are things in TRAC and who are the go-tos for certain questions?
8. BC - Researchers won't use TRAC
9. Mary Schroeder - I don't know about TRAC or how to use it
10. Samantha Effert - Agreed - don't know what it is
11. Todd Mcneeley - Disconnect between Deb and researchers
12. T.M. - TRAC is a place for documentation - ticketing system, etc. Submitting work order
13. DC - Demod on screen
14. Maren Wennberg - Might just use it as a website and point folks there
15. BM - Perhaps documentation can be put on TRAC
16. Brian Gryziak - Agreed maybe we can use TRAC for documentation
17. DC - demos resdac info - there are links from the website to RESDAC.
    Everyone should read the executive summary before beginning
18. MS - how organized?
19. DC - one table, organized by year
20. BM - where is this info located?
21. DM - documentation not developed yet
22. BM - Where would Mary get the info?
23. T.M. - expectation is that sites will work with pilot leads
24. KO - not sure about my role as PM to support leads
25. DC - join DEV calls or email dev list; Maren will assist
26. T.M. - Need to ensure that you have access at each site
27. DC - Nick/UIOWA is going to have to lead efforts
28. MS - Only myself and 2 co-Is need access to GROUSE
29. DC - what about your time/effort?
30. Nick Smith - for work or support?
31. DC - what would you want to get what you need?
32. MS - I reviewed documentation; didn’t download staging document yet; I’ve used Medicare data before; more concerned about i2b2 consistency across sites.
33. DC - nothing is the same across sites
34. MS - can I get a list of ontology for each site?  
35. DC - Yes  
36. MS - at the variable name level? I need to know the lay of the land. CDM may be sufficient for feasibility - could use the next grant for additional development. Will be harder sell.  
37. KO - what about sites developing i2b2 query for others to run and submit  
38. T.M. - yes  
39. MS - differences in approach to data output - 1 - tables, rows/columns  
   This won't work for me - I need to create a history at the person level - demos, outcomes, and then create data set that analyses are run on. I will need to stay within GROUSE and won't be asking others for info because data are already there.  
40. DC - i2b2 star schema - ~400,000 column data dictionary  
41. MS - asked project leads for variables in order of most important from there  
   E.g., chemo - oral vs IV - Where at UIOWA?  
42. NS - still working on this  
43. DM - what kind of dispensing data?  
44. MS - dispensing and prescribing - but do these differ by sites in i2b2?  
45. DC - Demos babel -  
46. BC - will need to standardize data  
47. T.M. - / MAren - this is what SHRINE does  
48. MS - throws virtual chicken  
49. BM - do you really want to go down this road?  
50. MS - Yes - because overhauling at the site is much slower  
51. DC - meds are fairly standardized  
52. KO - ordered? Administered? Dispensed?  
53. DC - lots about terms and you can mostly run the query - can search within KUMC too - terms and the data  
   SHRINE - the above for multiple sites. Query gets sent to a lot of places but at different times, you only get counts.  
54. BM - Let's get back towards standardization issue.  
55. KO - Write a query in your home system  
56. DC - there is a website but those folks will need to need approvals before accessing there.  
   https://www.Grouse.kumc.edu  
57. DC - CMS squeezed into i2b2 tables  
58. MS - source is required and prefers data stay where they are rather than being merged  
   Is there a way to tell where one person's i2b2 data start and end?  
   a. I need to know that data are linked to each site i2b2 rather than all merged together.  
   b. ACTION ITEM - KUMC to huddle and provide answer to; Can users know the identity of sites in GROUSE?  
59. BM - can we write a query and have dataset be created in GROUSE?  
60. MS - was going to create individual variables  
61. DC - not ready now but might be eventually  
62. MS - creating mini database so that she can create her analytic dataset  
63. KO - will we allow pulling out of GROUSE?  
64. DC - we have a tool to do this and can probably create finder files of patients ids - but the tool runs slowly  
65. MS - what runs faster vs slower? E.g., sas script off raw data vs the tool  
66. DC - probably quicker to do yourself - tool assumes one i2b2 fact table  
67. ToddM - need approvals?  
68. MW - Russ wants things documented for access
69. DC - DROC will review and grant approval
70. Dan Hood - in that case we will need to know what data belong to what sites
71. MW - Russ wants the users and projects documented so that sites know who is accessing their data
72. KO - DROC request is just 'be aware'?
73. T.M. - yes essentially.
74. MS - *throws chicken* - DROC - Data Request Oversight Committee
75. DC - demos DROC process on screen
76. MW - set up email list serve for GROUSE users?
77. ACTION ITEM - Brian to get Nick GROUSE access
78. BM - how do we get things added to TRAC?
79. DC - GPC dev is the best
80. MS - GPC dev?
81. T.M. - has GROUSE been reviewed at each site?
82. No
83. ACTION ITEM - Tamara to talk to Hillary/Steve about getting GROUSE added to PM call
84. MS - Can I get data off GROUSE to make a picture? Is there a ticketing system?
85. DC - yes, this is OK; but need process - unless KUMC gets STATA
86. KO - Can we take data off GROUSE?
87. DC - Russ question
88. ACTION ITEM - KUMC to huddle and provide an answer to: Can users download individual level data off of GROUSE?
89. MS - I can do a lot of work on the GROUSE box but I need tools for publications, graphs, etc. not ideal but can do it.
90. SE - SAS graphics now has a better point/click tool
91. SE - surveys across GPC for the pilot I am working on - renal cysts
   UMN and 2 other sites
92. Brian Gryzlak - might be lessons from the BC survey work
93. DC - other admin to consider like doc approval

Adjourned at 11:12am

Notes submitted by Brian Gryzlak